

BEF

CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JIM IRVIN

ION CHAMESIVED

1999 JUN 28 P 2: 11

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUN 2 8 1999 DOCKET NO. E-01933A-98-0471

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-97-0772

DOCKET NO. RE-00000-94-0165

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS STRANDED COST RECOVERY AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS, AUTHORIZATIONS AND WAIVERS. IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY OF UNBUNDLED TARIFFS PURSUANT TO A.A.C. R14-2-1602 et seq. IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPETITION IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA.

APPLICATION OF PG&E ENERGY SERVICES CORPORATION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-105, PG&E Energy Services Corporation ("Applicant") hereby submits this Application For Leave To Intervene ("Application") in the above-captioned proceedings. In support of its Application, Applicant submits the following:

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NATIONAL BANK PLAZA
333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711
(520) 721-1900

2021

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW NATIONAL BANK PLAZA

NATIONAL BANK PLAZA 333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300 TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711 (520) 721-1900

I. IDENTIFY AND NATURE OF APPLICANT'S INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT PROCEEDINGS

Applicant is a wholly owned subsidiary of PG&E Corporation, an energy based holding company headquartered in San Francisco, California. Applicant is also a nation-wide marketer of retail energy services and products; and was certificated by the Commission in its Decision Nos. 61303 and 61496 as an Electric Service Provider to provide the competitive services therein authorized in Arizona's emerging competitive retail electric market. In fact, Applicant was the first new entrant to be so certificated.

By reason of the foregoing, Applicant is directly and substantially affected by any Commission action(s) which govern or determine the terms and conditions under which competition in electric generation and other competitive services is to be introduced in the State of Arizona. The June 9, 1999 Settlement Agreement filed by Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP"), by its very terms, contemplates and requires such action(s) and approval(s) by the Commission.

For example, Commission approval of the proposed Settlement Agreement would establish a stranded cost recovery methodology and plan for TEP, and unbundled distribution tariffs for both Standard Offer customers and those who choose Competitive Retail Access. Each of these matters has a direct and significant bearing upon the competitive conditions that will exist for prospective new entrants in TEP's currently certificated electric service areas. Similarly, TEP and the other signatory parties' request for waiver of certain Commission rules and regulations, and approval of a code of conduct governing transactions involving TEP may affect the ability of new entrants to effectively compete.

As a consequence, Applicant and its interest as a state-wide certificated Electric Service

Provider are directly and substantially affected by any proceedings the Commission may conduct, and any action(s) and approval(s) it may undertake or issue, in the above-captioned proceedings with reference to the June 9, 1999 Settlement Agreement and the June, 1999 Notice of Filing and Application For Approval.

II. APPLICANT'S INTERVENTION AND PARTICIPATION WILL NOT UNDULY BROADEN THE ISSUES

The issues and matters which Applicant desires to address are presented by the provisions of the Settlement Agreement itself. Applicant does not envision that its intervention and participation will unduly broaden those issues which the Commission will be required to resolve incident to a determination as to whether the Settlement Agreement should be approved, modified or rejected.

III. NO OTHER PARTY OR PARTICIPANT HAS INTERESTS OR POSITIONS SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR TO APPLICANT

In a competitive market environment, no two competitors have substantially similar interests or positions. This is particularly true when that market environment is still in an embryonic stage, as is the situation in Arizona at present. Moreover, none of the signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement have interests and positions substantially similar to Applicant within the context of the Settlement Agreement itself. TEP's interest(s) and position(s) are those of a competitor to Applicant. The Residential Utility Consumer Office and the Arizona Community Action Association represent predominantly a residential consumer perspective. Arizonans For Electric Choice and Competitive represents predominantly an industrial and commercial consumer perspective. Only Applicant is in a posture to speak for or adequately represent its particular

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW	NATIONAL BANK PLAZA	333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300	TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711	0000
---	---------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	------

interest(s) and position(s).

WHEREFORE, PG&E Energy Services Corporation (i) believes it has clearly satisfied the prerequisites governing intervention specified in A.A.C. R14-3-105, and (ii) hereby requests that it be allowed to intervene and fully participate in any and all proceedings conducted in the above-captioned dockets in connection with the June 9, 1999 Settlement Agreement and the June 9, 1999 Notice of Filing and Application For Approval.

DATED this 24th day of June, 1999.

Respectfully submitted,

PG&E Energy Services Corporation

By Mulan S - Lew Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C. National Bank Plaza
333 North Wilmot, Suite 300

333 North Wilmot, Suite 300 Tucson, Arizona 85711

Original and ten copies of the foregoing mailed this 24th day of June, 1999 to:

Docket Control.
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Paroma Duarte

	1	Copy of the foregoing mailed			
•	2	this 24th day of June, 1999 to:			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	3	Carl J. Kunasek, Chairman Jim Irvin, Commissioner			
	William A. Mundell, Commissioner				
	5	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street			
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
	7	Jerry L. Rudibaugh, Chief Hearing Officer			
	8	Hearing Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
	9	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007			
	10				
MUNGER CHADWICK, PL.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW NATIONAL BANK PLAZA 333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300 TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711 (520) 721-1900 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	11	Paul Bullis, Chief Counsel Legal Division			
	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
	14	Ray Williamson, Acting Director			
	15	Utilities Division			
	16	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street			
	17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007			
		Bradley S. Carroll			
		Counsel, Regulatory Affairs Legal Department - DB203 TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY			
	19				
	20	220 West Sixth Street - P.O. Box 711			
	Tucson, Arizona 85702				
	22	Douglas A. Oglesby			
	23	Vice President and General Counsel PG&E Energy Services			
	24	345 California Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111			
	25				
	26	Paloma Duarte			
	27				